

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,098	10/31/2003	Masahiro Tadauchi	040301-0639 9009	
22428 7590 08/28/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	er xivi		ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/697,098		TADAUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Zimmerman	1775	

	John J. Zimmerman	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE RÈPLY FILED <u>14 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	ine issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> /it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	John J. Zimmermar Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1775	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Tadauchi '093 teaches away from the claimed range has been carefully considered. Tadauchi '093, however, appears to only discourage less than 1.0 wt.% Bi and therefore there is a clear overlap with the range claimed by applicant. In any event, even if Tadauchi '093 did discourage 1.0 wt.% Bi, the Tadauchi reference still would clearly suggest using amounts just exceeding 1.0 wt.% Bi and, unless proven otherwise, there would be no expected difference in results from ranges that so closely approximate each other.